

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office^F Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/849,344	(05/07/2001	Hiroshi Yokoyama	PW 0277195 TK(F)-060-US	1120
909	7590 .	11/13/2003		EXAMINER	
		HROP, LLP	FONTAINE, MONICA A		
P.O. BOX 10500 MCLEAN, VA 22102				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1732	
•				DATE MAILED: 11/13/200	3 .

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		09/849,344	YOKOYAMA ET AL.
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit
		Monica A Fontaine	1732
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	n appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address
THE - Extended after - If the series of the	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RI MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, o period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory plane to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by streply received by the Office later than three months after the replacement of the property of the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, mayon. In a reply within the statutory minimum of eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) Notes that the comment of	y a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	22 August 2003.	
2a) <u></u>	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ ⁻	This action is non-final.	
3)□	Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice und		
Disposit	tion of Claims		
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	hdrawn from consideration.	
Applicat	tion Papers		
9)[The specification is objected to by the Example 1	miner.	
10)⊠	The drawing(s) filed on <u>07 May 2001</u> is/are	e: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ ob	jected to by the Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	o the drawing(s) be held in abe	yance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	•	
	The oath or declaration is objected to by th	ne Examiner. Note the attac	ned Office Action or form PTO-152.
	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
* 13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documed Copies of the priority documed Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Business and Copies of the application from the International Business and Copies of the Acknowledgment is made of a claim for donusince a specific reference was included in the Copies of the foreign language Acknowledgment is made of a claim for donusiness and Copies of the foreign language Acknowledgment is made of a claim for donusiness and copies of the first sentence of	ments have been received. ments have been received in priority documents have be ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). Is list of the certified copies re mestic priority under 35 U.S. The first sentence of the spece e provisional application has mestic priority under 35 U.S.	n Application No ten received in this National Stage not received. C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) ification or in an Application Data Sheet. s been received. C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific
Attachmer	nt(s)		
2) 🔲 Noti	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No	3) 5) Notice	w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/849,344

Art Unit: 1732

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

Claims 1 and 4 are objected to because of the following informalities: It is believed the word "an" has been mistakenly omitted in the following places:

Claim 1, line 7, after the word "of"

Claim 4, line 4, after the phrase "a shot of"

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 2, and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nakumura et al. (U.S. Patent 5,518,390). Regarding Claim 1, Nakumura et al., hereafter "Nakumura," show that it is known to carry out an injection control method, wherein molten material is injected into a mold by an injection cylinder unit (Abstract), comprising the steps of: setting target velocity data specifying injection operation required for the injection cylinder unit in advance (Column 2, lines 24-26); performing a first shot of an injection operation actually, and recording command data provided to the injection cylinder unit and detecting velocity data indicating the operation performed by the injection cylinder unit during the first shot of injection operation (Column 1,

Application/Control Number: 09/849,344

Page 3

Art Unit: 1732

lines 60-63; Column 2, lines 24-28); determining a difference between the detected velocity data and the target velocity data and calculating a correction value based on the difference (Column 2, lines 34-41), using the calculated correction value and generating command data for a second shot of injection operation (Column 2, lines 34-41, 46-54; Column 3, lines 4-15); and operating the injection cylinder unit by providing to it the command data for the second shot of injection operation (Column 2, lines 46-54; Column 3, lines 4-15).

Regarding Claim 2, Nakumura shows the process as claimed as discussed in the rejection of Claim 1 above, including a method wherein the correction value is obtained by operating the injection cylinder unit for a predetermined number of injection shots by the ordinary injection position feedback control (Column 3, lines 60-67; Column 4, lines 1-29), and thereafter, the control is shifted to open loop control of injection velocity by command data generated from the correction value and the previous command data (Column 2, lines 49-56; Column 30-37).

Regarding Claim 5, Nakumura shows the process as claimed as discussed in the rejection of Claim 1 above, including a method wherein in setting the target velocity data, a pattern in terms of position and velocity form specifying injection operation is set in advance by a user, the pattern being converted into time-series position command data in terms of position and time so as to be used for injection position feedback control, as well as the pattern being converted into target velocity in terms of velocity and time (Column 3, lines 60-67; Column 4, lines 1-29).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 09/849,344 Page 4

Art Unit: 1732

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nakumura, in view of Bulgrin (U.S. Patent 5,997,778).

Regarding Claims 3 and 4, Nakumura shows the process as claimed as discussed in the rejection of Claim 1 above, but does not teach a concept of delay. Bulgrin shows that it is known to carry out an injection control method wherein (Claim 3) a value of servo delay in the injection cylinder is set in advance (Column 21, lines 21-24), and in calculating the correction value, the difference between the detected velocity data and the target velocity data is calculated in a state that the phase of the detected velocity data is advanced by the servo delay (Column 21, lines 21-31), and (Claim 4) adjustment of the servo delay is made for the entire injection molding shot operation (Column 21, lines 21-48; It is noted that a low-velocity section, a high-velocity section, and a deceleration section would be included in the range over which Bulgrin applies his delay concept.) Bulgrin and Nakumura are combinable because they are concerned with a similar technical field, namely, that of injection molding control methods. It would have been prima facie obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to introduce Bulgrin's concept of delay into Nakumura's control method in order to make the control technique more accurate.

Page 5

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Monica A Fontaine whose telephone number is 703-305-7239. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:30am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mike Colaianni can be reached on 703-305-5493. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9310.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

Maf

November 7, 2003

MICHAEL COLAIANNI